The Great Grid Upgrade

BT-NG-020621-545-0218

Bramford to Twinstead Reinforcement

LAMARSH

Volume 8: Examination Submissions

Document 8.4.3 (C): Errata List

Final Issue C February 2024

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020002

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 Regulation 8(1)(k)

nationalgrid

Page intentionally blank

Version History					
Date	Issue	Status	Description / Changes		
October 2023	А	Final	Errors discovered in the Applicant's application material, from the date of submission and are recorded at Table 1.1, submitted at Deadline 2 (11 October 2023).		
November 2023	В	Final	Errors discovered in the Applicant's application material, between Deadline 2 (11 October 2023) and Deadline 4 (16 November 2023) are recorded at Table 1.1, submitted at Deadline 4 (16 November 2023).		
February 2024	С	Final	Errors discovered in the Applicant's application material, between Deadline 4 (16 November 2023) and Deadline 9 (23 February 2024) are recorded at Table 1.1, submitted at Deadline 9 (23 February 2024).		

Contents

1. Applicant's Errata List

1.1 Introduction

Table 1.1 – Errata List

1

1

2

1. Applicant's Errata List

1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (here on referred to as 'the Applicant') has made an application for development consent to reinforce the transmission network between Bramford Substation in Suffolk, and Twinstead Tee in Essex. The Bramford to Twinstead Reinforcement ('the project') would be achieved by the construction and operation of a new electricity transmission line over a distance of approximately 29km (18 miles), the majority of which would follow the general alignment of the existing overhead line network.
- 1.1.2 This Errata List has been prepared and submitted at Deadline 9 (23 February 2024) to detail errata and omissions within the documents identified throughout the Examination and provides corrections as necessary. These are set out in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 – Errata List

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
Deadline 2	Updates (11 October 20	23)		
[APP-068]	Environmental Statement (ES) Non- Technical Summary 6.1	11.2.2	Inconsistency in number quoted for area of land in the Order Limits.	There is approximately 644ha of agricultural land within the draft Order Limits
[PDA-002]	Environmental Statement Figures 6.4	Figure 3.4	Figure 3.4 [APP-145]. It is shown as 400kV when it	This is a historical optioneering plan (showing the GSP substation study areas) and therefore does not change the basis of the assumptions used or the outcomes of the ES. Therefore, no update is proposed other than including reference to the errata in this table.
[APP-132]	ES Appendix 10.3: Minerals Resource Assessment (MRA)	2.4.3		Policy S8 of the adopted Minerals Local Plan (Essex County Council, 2014) advises that the MSA will be safeguarded from proposed development in excess of 5ha. If a project sterilises 5ha of mineral within the MSA, the developer needs to demonstrate that 'the sand and gravel present is not of economic value, or not practically or environmentally feasible to extract, or that the mineral will be worked before the development takes place or used within the development.
[APP-130]	ES Appendix 10.1: Geology Baseline and Preliminary Risk Assessment	Line 8 in Table A.1 in Annex A	There is a missing digit in the first co-ordinate which states '58764, 237139'.	The correct coordinates should be 587964, 237139.
[APP-160]	Planning Statement 7.1	Paragraph 4.14.2 in page 30		Some pylons will have a maximum height of 62m (rounded to the nearest decimal point and subject to the Limits of Deviation).
				This explains the inconsistency between the Planning Statement [APP-160] (62m) and the Work Plans [APP-010] (62.23m).
[APP-035]	Explanatory Memorandum 3.2	Paragraph 4.1.2.21 in page 56	application documents in respect to the removal of 2km/2.5km of the existing 400kV (Route 4YLA)	Work Number 7 (shown on Sheets 21, 27 and 28 of the Work Plans) is concerned with the removal of approximately 2km of existing overhead electricity transmission line (Route 4YLA) between the Twinstead

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
			400kV overhead line in the Explanatory Memorandum [APP-035] relates to the length of 400kV overhead line south of the Stour Valley West	[APP-035] which incorporates this change will be submitted at Deadline 3.
[REP1-043]	Suffolk County Council Issue Specific Hearing 1: Post Hearing Submission Deadline 1	Point 5.2 in page 11	attention to certain factual errors in the drafting of	
Deadline 4	Updates (16 November	2023)		
[APP-160]	Planning Statement	Section 4.3.3	Typographical	The following entry: is amended to read, 'For the purposes of the local planning policy assessment, Section A (Bramford Substation) and Section B (Hintlesham) are addressed separately, <u>although</u> , these are combined into a single Section AB (Bramford/Hintlesham) elsewhere in the application. This recognises that Section A (Bramford Substation) falls within Mid Suffolk District, whereas Section B (Hintlesham) falls within Babergh District Mid- Suffolk District .'
[APP-092]	ES Appendix 4.3, Greenhouse Gas Assessment	Paragraph 2.1.2	Typographical	The Carbon Asset Database underpinning the carbon calculations incorporates all appropriate GHG in the CO2e within the data. Paragraph 2.1.2 should have more accurately referenced 'CO2e' rather than 'CO2'.
[APP-101]	ES Appendix 6.4 Viewpoint Assessment Section AB Part 1	Wireline of viewpoint AB01	Error in the wireline of viewpoint AB01	The wireline was mistakenly orientated at 225°, whereas to align with the photograph it should be at 192° i.e. in a more southerly direction. This correction has been made

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				to the wireline and revision B has been submitted at Deadline 4.
[APP-105]	ES Appendix 6.4 Viewpoint Assessment Section F Part 5	Wireline of viewpoint F01	Error in the wireline of viewpoint F01	The pylon illustrated in the wireline showing the project was mistakenly illustrated as a suspension pylon. The pylon illustrated should have been a tension pylon. This correction has been made to the wireline and revision B has been submitted at Deadline 4.
[REP3-026]	CEMP Appendix A – Code of Construction Practice	GH07	then current wording of GH07 [REP1-007/008]. However, the wording has been further updated following the Environment Agency's Written Representation [REP2-023] and this has been	The following entry: is amended to read, 'A hydrogeological risk assessment will be undertaken once the trenchless crossing method has been confirmed. This will assess the risks on groundwater or surface water quality associated with the construction method including considering the potential for breakout during drilling and the use of bentonite or other agents proposed. Where the assessment identifies an unacceptable risk to groundwater or surface water quality, then alternative methods and/or additives shall be proposed, assessed and used. The hydrogeological risk assessment will be submitted to the Environment Agency for information prior to construction approval prior to construction. The Environment Agency will have up to 21 working days to respond on the hydrogeological risk assessment and their comments will be considered as part of finalising the risk assessment. This can be supported by a pre-submission draft to reduce the risk of any delays.'
[APP-092]	Environmental statement Appendix 4.3: Greenhouse Gas Assessment	Paragraph 2.1.2	As per paragraph 2.1.3 of ES Appendix 4.3, Greenhouse Gas Assessment [APP-092] the greenhouse gases (GHG) are equated to a carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e). The Carbon Asset Database underpinning the carbon calculations incorporates all appropriate GHG in the CO2e within the data. Therefore paragraph 2.1.2 should have more accurately referenced 'CO2e' rather than 'CO2'	

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
[REP3-011]	Special Category Land Report	Plots 3-13, 31- 16, 3-18 and 3-22	Category Land Report [APP-041], Compulsory Acquisition Powers sought over Open Spaces, said in respect of Hintlesham Golf Course that CA Class	Table 3.1 of the Special Category Land Report [APP-041] was amended at Deadline 3 [REP3-011] to reflect that the plots 3-13, 31- 16, 3-18 and 3-22 at Hintlesham Golf Club are recorded as Compulsory Acquisition (CA) Class 4 and not as Class 3 as they are currently recorded in that document.
[REP3-011]	Special Category Land Report	Plots 6-02 and 6-04	Category Land Report [APP-041], Compulsory	Row 2, Column 5 of Table 3.1 in Special Category Land Report [REP3-011] has been amended at Deadline 3 to show Plots 6-02 and 6-04 as CA Class of Right 4 instead of CA Class of Right 2 that they currently show.
[REP1-025]	Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations	Table 3.13	The ExA asked the Applicant to confirm an omission of 'no' in Table 3.13, at the top of page 106 (i'.e., should it read,' "s no significant effect')?	This response should read 'no significant effect' as no significant effect is expected on Hintlesham Hall.
[APP-103]	Environmental Statement Appendix 6.4 Viewpoint Assessment Section D Part 3	Page 31	of 'The removal of the existing 132kV overhead line	There is an error in the first sentence of the Year 1 operation text for D07 in ES Appendix 6.4 Viewpoint Assessment Section D Part 3 [APP-103]. The assessment should say: ' <i>The absence of 132kV pylons in the midground would be beneficial</i> '.
[APP-074]	Environmental Statement, Chapter 6: Landscape and Visual	Paragraph 6.4.6		

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
			was subsequently updated to include woodland as described on Figure 6.7 [APP-146].	
Deadline 9	updates (23 February 2	024)		
[APP-127]	ES Appendix 8.2 Historic Environment Assessment	Table 3.1 page 10	Missing archaeological asset from Table 3.1 of ES Appendix 8.2: Historic Environment Impact Assessment [APP-127].	Under 'Asset': Ring ditch of unknown date, visible as a crop mark (MSF13637) visible as a pale soil mark; - under 'Value': Low; - under 'Impacts Identified': Excavation associated with temporary access point AB-AP4; - under 'Magnitude': Medium adverse; - under 'Significance of effect': Minor adverse.
[APP-134]	ES Appendix 12.1 Traffic and Transport Significance of Effects Tables	Table 3.1, Page 8	Missing receptor - Ryes college, Bures Road, Assington	Table 3.1 should include: Road: Bures Road, Receptor sensitivity: amend from 'medium' to 'very high'. Rationale for sensitivity: Village Hall and school. Magnitude: 'Negligible' Significance: amend from 'neutral' to 'minor'.
[APP-134]	ES Appendix 12.1 Traffic and Transport Significance of Effects Tables	Table 4.1, Page 14	Missing receptor - Ryes college, Bures Road, Assington	Table 4.1 should include: Road: Bures Road, Receptor sensitivity: amend from 'medium' to 'very high'. Rationale for sensitivity: Village Hall and school. Magnitude: 'Negligible' Significance: amend from 'neutral' to 'minor'.
[REP3-052]	8.5.4 Applicant's Responses to First Written Questions	Question MG1.0.41 page 21		National Grid Group's regulatory asset value should read "£58,977m", rather than "£58.977m", in the Applicant's response to Question MG1.0.41 in the Applicant's Responses to First Written Questions [REP3-052].
[REP6-011]	Planning Statement	8.7.4	Following the adoption of the Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan, some of the Neighbourhood Plan Policies were revised. Also see MG2.0.6 of the Applicant's Response to the Examining Authority's	

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph / Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
			Second Written Questions [REP7-025] which considered additional policies identified.	Policy LEAV3: Leavenheath Special Landscape Area Landscape and biodiversity
[REP6-011]	Planning Statement	8.7.2	Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan has since passed the referendum stage and has been adopted: <i>'There are four adopted Neighbourhood Plans</i>	Leavenheath Neighbourhood Plan and the Little Cornard Neighbourhood Plan. <u>Meanwhile, the Leavenheath</u> Neighbourhood Plan is currently awaiting referendum.'
[REP6-011]	Planning Statement	7.3.96	Paragraph number is missing between 7.3.96 and 7.3.97.	In order to not impact the rest of the paragraph numbering, this Paragraph will be referred to as 7.3.96(a).
[REP6-011]	Planning Statement	7.4.2	The date the NPPF was updated is incorrect, which currently states ' <i>The NPPF was updated on 5 September 2023</i> '	

National Grid plc National Grid House, Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick. CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales No. 4031152 nationalgrid.com